

Practitioner's Docket No. **30GF9097****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**In re Application **HUNTLEY et al**

Group No: \_\_\_\_\_

Application No **10/055,442**

Examiner: \_\_\_\_\_

Filed: **January 23, 2002****For METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL OF AN  
AUTOMATION CONTROL MODULE**Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231**POWER TO INSPECT**

Please permit Pat Paxton to inspect the above-entitled application, and to make copies of any of the papers that he/she/they may desire.

DATE: **1/24/03**

---

**SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER OF RECORD****Jay L. Chaskin, Reg. No. 24030**

Type or print name of practitioner

Tel NO: (203) 373

2733

3135 Easton Turnpike Fairfield, CT 06828

Customer NO: \_\_\_\_\_

**NOTE:** Formerly, the M.P.E.P. indicated that (1) the power to inspect must specifically name the person who is entitled to inspect and copy the application (2) an associate or representative of the named person is not entitled to access to the application on behalf of the authorized person and (3) the power to inspect must specifically identify the application by serial number and be limited to a single application. "While the current M.P.E.P. & 104, 6<sup>TH</sup> ed., rev. 3 does not contain this language, it is advisable to adhere to those requirements previously spelled out in detail.

Power to Inspect (12-4)

#4 / Power to  
Inspect  
JL Chaskin  
1/03